

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/560,621	12/14/2005	Hiromune Matsuoka	DK-US030324	5596	
GLOBAL IP C	7590 04/29/2009 COUNSELORS, LLP	EXAMINER			
1233 20TH ST	REET, NW, SUITE 700	)	COX, ALEXIS K		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20036-2680		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3744		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/29/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/560,621	MATSUOKA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ALEXIS K. COX	3744		

	ALEXIS K. COX	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 16 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AI	LOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period red valued red 77 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed with th	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS	unin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better</li> </ul>		luoina or oimplifuina ti	o incues for			
appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially rec	auding or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1.1		ected claims.				
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	· ·			
7. \( \subseteq \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) \( \bar{\text{L}} \) now the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	planation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 6-8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
/Frantz F. Julos/						

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The recitation of the limitation "a receiver" in claim 6 is a new limitation, and the alteration of the manner in which the valves are daimed relative to the newly recited receiver adds numerous limitations to the claims which have not previously been considered.